

## Adjustments to FY18 CoC Competition Scorecards

Some unanticipated challenges became apparent only after FY18 CoC Competition data was submitted. The following adjustments were made consistently across all Scorecards:

- **Health Insurance Annual (Metric 6):** Changed “Enter value Q05a. Line 16.” To “Enter value Q05a. Line 8.” The initial formula caused PSH projects overall performance on this metric to be noticeably inflated (in some cases, over 200% achievement). STEH corrected Scorecards using submitted APR data.
- **Housing First Questionnaire Scores (Metric 9):** Q20-22 of the Housing First Questionnaire refer to excluding clients/households based on dependent children/age/family composition. Site-based projects that serve single individuals only should not be penalized for their targeted population and STEH revised these responses to “No” or “NA”, as applicable.
- **Funds Expended in FY16 (Metric 10):** To accurately calculate and assign quartiles, projects that spent over 100% if their initial subaward amount were recorded as having spent 100%.
- **Match (Metric 12):**
  - FY15 match amounts were rounded up to the nearest percent.
  - For projects that were not required to submit match in FY15 (due to a multi-year grant or not operating in the fiscal year), match data in Metric 12 was based on the agency’s history of meeting the FY15 match requirement on other CoC projects. For example, if an agency’s other projects met the requirement in FY15, the new/multi-year project received credit. If the agency’s other projects did not meet the requirement in FY15, the new/multi-year project did not receive credit.
- **Coordinated Entry (Metric 14):** For projects with only one year of Coordinated Entry data, the performance formula was updated to “2 Year Perf” = CE data, rather than averaging the single year of CE data with 0 (resulting in a dramatic reduction in achievement).
- **Data Quality Timeliness (Metric 28):** It was discovered that APR Q6e was programmed wrong in HMIS and therefore pulling data incorrectly. The Partnership Center fixed this glitch and each Data Quality Timeliness (APR Q6e) section was re-run by STEH and corrected for all projects.
- **Projects with only one year of data:** Due to the fact that some renewal projects have not been operating long enough to capture annual assessment scores, a few projects had 0 people counted in specific metrics on the APR. This appears most often in Income/Health Insurance (Metrics 3 & 4) and in these cases, the projects were assigned to Quartile 3 for points which is consistent with the Scoring Criteria guidance when no data is available for recidivism outcomes.

- **Multi-Year Projects & Financial Data:** The following provisions have been made for the two multi-year projects that do not complete their initial grant term until 2019 and therefore do not have final financial data:
  - Metric 10: Q3 points will be assigned.
  - Metric 11: Q3 points will be assigned.
  - Cost Effectiveness: total CoC Project Funding will be calculated based on 1/3 original contract amount plus 25% match.