

## 2018 Cincinnati/Hamilton County Continuum of Care Scoring Criteria for Prioritizing Projects

<u>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS:</u>
--------------------------------

New Projects:

Agencies may apply for new projects as allowed by HUD in the FY 2018 CoC Program Registration Notice and Bonus Projects as defined in the FY 2018 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA).

Housing Focus: A minimum of 70% of funds in housing budget lines (leasing, rental assistance, or operating).

Renewal Projects:

In order to receive points, the project must be able to produce, from HMIS a HUD Annual Performance Report (APR) and a Universal Recidivism by Exit Destination report for the most recently completed operating year. Additional documents required to complete the scoring criteria are a completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire, match documentation letters from the most recently ended grant term, and the agency's most recent audited financial statement.

**All projects:**

**Match:** All statutory match requirements must be met. [This is currently 25% of the grant, excluding leasing funds.]

SPECIAL NOTES:

- 1- Projects that are still in their initial one year grant term will not participate in the ranking process and will be included in the CoC application for renewal funding.
- 2- All other renewal projects will be ranked based on project outcomes.
  - a. All outcomes will be based on a two-year average using either the Annual Performance Report (APR) or the Universal Recidivism report, or data provided by Strategies to End Homelessness; HMIS reports will be run out of the community HMIS system, VESTA®.
    - i. Timeframes:
      1. APR outcomes – 7/1/2016 – 6/30/2017, 7/1/2017 – 6/30/2018
        - a. Reports will be run out of VESTA®
      2. Recidivism Outcomes – 7/1/2014 – 6/30/2015, 7/1/2015 – 6/30/2016
        - a. Reports will be run out of VESTA®
      3. Coordinated Entry – referrals made between 7/1/2016 – 6/30/2017 and 7/1/2017 – 6/30/2018
        - a. Data will be provided by Coordinated Entry System via Strategies to End Homelessness.

<u>COC SCORECARD</u>
----------------------

- 1- For Metrics 1-8, 10, 11, 14, 15, 25-28, project outcomes will be ranked in four quartiles:

- a. Projects with outcomes in the highest quartile (top 25%) are awarded maximum point value (Q1).
  - b. Projects performing above the median, but below the first quartile, are awarded the 2nd highest point value (Q2).
  - c. Projects performing below the median, but above the lowest quartile are awarded the 3rd highest point value (Q3).
  - d. Projects performing at the lowest quartile (bottom 25%) will automatically receive zero points (Q4).
- 2- All other metrics (9, 12, 13, 16-24, 29) are scored based on project outcomes as indicated on scorecard.
  - 3- The Housing First Questionnaire is worth a total of 12 points; each question answered "no" or "n/a" will be awarded .5 pts. Strategies to End Homelessness will review all responses for accuracy based on Monitoring and Coordinated Entry evidence. If a discrepancy is found, STEH may send Housing First Questionnaire back to the agency for revision. If a discrepancy cannot be resolved, members of the Homeless Clearinghouse will provide additional review.
  - 4- SSO projects have fewer possible points, so their score will be weighted. The percentage of available points they receive in this process will be their weighted score in the final prioritization list. (Example: if an SSO project scores 45 out of 75 points, or 60% of the points available to them, their weighted score in the final prioritization list will be 60).
  - 5- In the event of a tie, the agency with the highest score in Section A. Project Performance will be awarded the higher rank, continuing down through each section (B-F) as needed.

New Projects: New projects will have no data, so they will not complete a CoC Scorecard. New projects will be required to present at a Community Prioritization Event.

Note: Metrics 10 and 11 will be calculated on accurate project billings submitted to STEH no later than August 10, 2018 at 12pm.

<i>COMMUNITY PRIORITIZATION MEETING</i>
---

- 1- The **bottom 15%** of projects (rounded up) and all new projects will be required to present at the Community Prioritization Meeting.
- 2- All projects required to present at the Community Prioritization Meeting will be re-prioritized solely based on the results of community ranking (**their pre-score will not affect final ranking**) and they will be placed at the bottom of the full prioritization list in the order of this community ranking.
- 3- The Homeless Clearinghouse may decide to require additional projects to present at the meeting. These projects will be re-ranked in the same manner as the bottom 15% of projects.
- 4- Every agency funded with CoC dollars is required to participate in the Community Meeting. For non-presenting agencies, exactly 2 staff members are required to attend.
- 5- Presenting agencies may bring additional staff as necessary, but will only be allowed 2 ranking sheets. Number of attendees per agency presenting may be limited due to space available.

New Projects: New Projects will be required to present at the Community Prioritization Meeting. The Homeless Clearinghouse will determine a set of specific criteria that will be used to determine how new projects will be inserted into the overall rank of community

projects. **This criterion will be determined by the Homeless Clearinghouse and available to new projects prior to the community prioritization event.**

**UPDATE 8/17/2018:** New projects will be ranked together with renewal projects that are required to present at the Community Prioritization Event. The top ranked new Rapid Re-housing project and the top ranked new Permanent Supportive housing project will be placed in Tier 1 on the final priority list. The remaining projects will be placed in line with the outcome of the community ranking process at the bottom of the priority list.

<i>RANKING PROCESS</i>
------------------------

Prior to the Community Prioritization Event, the **following information will be made available online** for attendees and other community members:

- 1- All relevant data regarding pre-scored dimensions including specific scores for all projects and their relative standing on both the individual dimensions and overall score;
- 2- Cost Effectiveness data for all projects considered for prioritization; and
- 3- A standardized project description with statistical and descriptive data *selected by the Homeless Clearinghouse* to prepare the attendees to fully understand and evaluate the information that is presented at the Community Prioritization Event.

At the Community Prioritization Event, presentations will focus on:

- 1- An explanation of metrics the project scored poorly on;
- 2- Detailed strategy of how the agency plans to address these issues going forward;
- 3- How the project meets a specific need in the community;
- 4- How the project contributes positively to HUD System Performance Measures ([See link for additional info](#)); and
- 5- Cost Effectiveness.

Every attendee will be trained on the ranking process on the day of the event.

After each project presentation, each rater completes a summary sheet *for his/her own use* that will not be required to be shared with anyone else. (*See Figure 1*) These summary sheets are put in order of the priority of each project as the presentations are made to be used by the rater at the end of the Community Prioritization Event.

**Figure 1:**

<b>Project Name:</b> Pre-fill	<b>\$ requested:</b> pre-fill	<b>Rank:</b>
<b>Type:</b> Pre-fill		
<u>Key Elements to consider:</u> Pre-score/past performance, cost effectiveness, impact on ending homelessness, need/extent of problem, participation in workgroups, promotes housing stability, reduces length of homeless episode, supports/enhances other programs, uniqueness in system, housing first model		
Program Strengths	Program Weaknesses	

After the project presentations, each rater –

- i. Compiles the rating sheets in order with the highest priority on top and the others following in top-down order of priority; then
- ii. Numbers the rating sheets in the box provided, assigning the highest priority number 1 and continuing until all are numbered.

Each rater completes a ranking sheet which designates a ranking for each project by copying the numbers from the summary sheets. (See *Figure 2*) These ranking sheets are then submitted and ranks are averaged to determine the final Community Prioritization Event result for each project.

A rater may not rank a project under the following circumstances:

- Employed by the agency requesting funding and/or an agency that will receive funding from the project (i.e. partnerships or collaborations)
- On the Board of Directors of the agency requesting funds
- Not present to hear the presentation. NOTE: a rater will have to rate ALL of the projects to be included in the final ranking.

**Figure 2:**

**Project Final Ranking Sheet**

Project Name	Rank	Project Name	Rank
Project A		Project F	
Project B		Project G	
Project C		Project H	
Project D		Project I	
Project E		Project J	

**DETERMINING THE FINAL PRIORITY LISTING**

**The Cincinnati/Hamilton County Continuum of Care Board, locally known as the Homeless Clearinghouse, has final decision-making power to make any changes to the final prioritization list in order to best position the community for the maximum amount of points in the CoC 2018 Application to HUD.**

## Project Performance Scorecard Overview

- A. Project Performance – Maximum Points = (54 - Housing) ( 44- SSO)
- B. Overall Grant Management– Maximum Points = (14 - Housing) (9 - SSO)
- C. Coordinated Entry – Maximum Points = (10 - Housing) ( N/A - SSO)
- D. Project Populations– Maximum Points = 12
- E. Data Quality – Maximum Points = 9
- F. CoC Participation – Maximum Points = 1
- Total Available Points: (100 - Housing Programs) (75 - SSO)

<b>A. Project Performance</b>				Max Points Available		
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale	PSH	RRH, TH	SSO
1 <b>Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who who stayed for <u>more than 90 days</u> who remained in the PH project as of the end of the operating year ( <i>PSH Only</i> ) or exited to a permanent housing destination ( <i>All</i> ).	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: CoC APR - Q5a, Q23a:  Calc: <i>PSH:</i> (Q23a.f1 + Q5a.8) Divided by (Q23a.e - Q23a.f2 + Q5a.8)  <i>RRH/TH/SSO:</i> (Q23a.f1) Divided by (Q23a.e - Q23a.f2)	Q1: 100% (10 pts) Q2: 99.99% - 97.96% (8 pts) Q3: 97.95% - 93.85% (5 pts) Q4: 93.84% - 0% (0 pts)	10	10	10
2 <b>RRH, TH Only:</b> <b>Housing Stability:</b> % of persons who stayed for <u>90 days or less</u> who exited to a permanent housing destination.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: CoC APR - Q5a, Q23b:  Calc: (Q23b.f1) Divided by (Q23b.e - Q23b.f2)	Q1: 100% - 83.15% (5 pts) Q2: 83.14% - 57.14% (3 pts) Q3: 57.13% - 36.36% (1.5 pts) Q4: 36.35% - 0% (0 pts)	0	5	0
3 <b>Income Total:</b> % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: CoC APR - 19a3:  Calc: See percentage in Row “Number of Adults with Any Income (i.e., Total Income)” and Column “Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure”	Q1: 100% - 57.41% (7 pts) Q2: 57.40% - 44.36% (5 pts) Q3: 44.35% - 28.79% (3 pts) Q4: 28.78% - 0% (0 pts)	7	7	7
4 <b>Income - Earned:</b> % of persons age 18 through 61 who maintained or increased their earned income as of the end of the operating year or project exit.	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	Source: CoC APR - 19a3:  Calc: See percentage in Row “Number of Adults with Earned Income (i.e., Employment Income)” and Column “Performance Measure: Percent of Persons who Accomplished this Measure”	Q1: 100% - 35.70% (5 pts) Q2: 35.69% - 15.79% (3 pts) Q3: 15.78% - 10.26% (1.5 pts) Q4: 10.25% - 0% (0 pts)	5	5	5

5	(PSH Only) <b>Non cash Benefits – Annual</b>	It is expected that projects help clients obtain and maintain benefits as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR – 20b+21(o-p):  <u>Calc:</u> See number in Row "1+ Sources" and Column "Income at latest annual assessment for stayers" from 20b. Divide by Line 16 in Section 5a.	<b>Q1: 100% - 92.22% (2.5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 92.21% - 75.78% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 75.77% - 60.51% (.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 60.50% - 0% (0 pts)</b>	2.5	0	0
6	(PSH Only) <b>Health Insurance – Annual</b>	It is expected that projects help clients obtain and maintain health insurance as a way of maintaining positive housing outcomes.	<u>Source:</u> CoC APR – 21(o-p):  <u>Calc:</u> Add the sum of Q21 Lines o and p. Divide by Line 16 in Section 5a.	<b>Q1: 100% - 79.93% (2.5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 79.92 - 67.71% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 67.70% - 53.64% (.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 53.63% - 0% 0 pts</b>	2.5	0	0
7	<b>Recidivism:</b> % of households who exit to permanent housing destinations and return to homelessness within 2 years	This is a standard HUD Measurement for Project Performance and System Performance	<u>Source:</u> HMIS "Recidivism by Exit Destination" Report  <u>Calc:</u> Pull for Date Range: 7/1/2014-6/30/2015, 7/1/2015-6/30/2016.  #2.az. Column h divided by Column a.	<b>Q1: 0%-6.67% (10 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 6.68% - 19.34% (8 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 19.35% - 28.57% (5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 28.58% - 100% (0 pts)</b> <i>Projects with 0 exits will be placed in Q3.</i>	10	10	10
8	<b>Utilization Rate:</b> On the night of the 2018 PIT and HIC Count % of utilization	High utilization rate indicates a project is efficient and effective in ensuring open beds are filled quickly and timely.	<u>Source:</u> Provided by STEH  <u>Calc:</u> 2017/2018 Housing Inventory Count	<b>Q1: 100% (5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 99.99% - 85.71% (3 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 85.70 - 57.41% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: N/A *</b>	5	5	0
9	<b>Housing First/Low Barrier:</b> To What Extent is the project Housing First/Low Barrier?	HUD has expressly stated that programs need to follow a housing first/low barrier philosophy.	<u>Source and Calc:</u> Completed Housing First/Low Barrier Questionnaire – Verify the score on the Questionnaire is correct based on the answers and enter the total score (max 12 points)	<b>Maximum of 12 pts.</b>	12	12	12
<b>B. Overall Grant Management</b>							
	<b>Performance Measurement</b>	<b>Rationale For Measurement</b>	<b>Data Source and How Calculated</b>	<b>Performance Point Scale</b>	PSH	TH/RRH, RRH, TH	SSO
10	<b>In the project's most recently ended grant year, what percentage of awarded funds were expended and drawn down from HUD?</b>	Projects not utilizing all of their awarded funds are leaving valuable resources unused; and not effectively using the resources available for their project.	<u>Source:</u> STEH Spending Data- Provided by STEH  <u>Calc:</u> Divide the amount of funding expended by the total initial subaward amount	<b>Q1: 100% (5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 99.99% - 95.45% (3 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 95.44% - 77.65% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: N/A*</b> <i>Projects spending 100% or more will be placed in Q1</i>	5	5	5

11	<b>Percent of CoC project funding expended by the agencies during their most recently ended grant year that was used for housing vs. supportive services.</b>	HUD looks to maximize HUD funds for housing and use of match from other sources to provide supportive services to maximize clients served	<u>Source:</u> STEH Spending Data- Provided by STEH  <u>Calc:</u> For RRH, TBRA PSH projects - divide the amount of rental assistance or leasing disbursed by the total amount disbursed, excluding admin funds  For Project-based PSH – divide the amount of housing funds disbursed by the total amount disbursed, excluding admin funds	<b>Q1: 100% - 95.17% (5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 95.16% - 81.61% (3 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 81.60% - 76.20% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 76.19% - 0% (0 pts)</b>	5	5	0
12	<b>Did the project have the match required per CoC regulations (at least 25% or 0.25:1)?</b>	Provision of Project Match is required by HUD and lack of required match is a compliance issue.	<u>Source:</u> Provided by STEH: Match Documentation for most recently submitted APR.	<b>Yes: 2 pts</b> <b>No: 0 pts</b>	2	2	2
13	Did the agency have any identified deficiencies or findings in their agency's most recent audit.	HUD expects agencies to follow program regulations and have adequate internal controls. Findings or deficiencies indicate a need to strengthen related processes.	<u>Source:</u> Agency's most recent Audit  <u>Calc:</u> Using the applicant's most recent audit enter the number of findings and deficiencies.	<b>No deficiencies = 2 pts.</b>  <b>All Deficiencies Corrected=1.5 pts</b>  <b>1 unresolved finding = 1 pt.</b>  <b>2+ unresolved findings = 0 pt.</b>	2	2	2

**C. Coordinated Entry**

<b>C. Coordinated Entry</b>				PSH	TH/RRH, RRH, TH	SSO	
<b>Performance Measurement</b>	<b>Rationale For Measurement</b>	<b>Data Source and How Calculated</b>	<b>Performance Point Scale</b>				
14	<b>Match to Housed Time:</b> Average time from match email to housed date	Quickly transitioning clients from street/shelter into housing.	<u>Source:</u> STEH Coordinated Entry Records - Provided by STEH  <u>Calc:</u> Average time from date of Match Email to Housing Move In date. Site-based programs use initial Pre-Match email from CE as Match date.	<b>Q1: 0 - 25.03 (5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 25.04 - 33.96 (3 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 33.97 - 41.68 (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 41.69+ (0 pts)</b>	5	5	0
15	<b>Successful Housing Match:</b> Households that were matched and subsequently housed in the program	This metric is a counter-balance to the Match to Housed Time. If a project doesn't meet the Match to Housed timeframe with a client, there is still incentive to continue to engage with the client to house them.	<u>Source:</u> STEH Coordinated Entry Records - Provided by STEH  <u>Calc:</u> Number of Households housed by project divided by total matches received from CE.	<b>Q1: 100% - 85.86% (5 pts)</b> <b>Q2: 85.85% - 80.00% (3 pts)</b> <b>Q3: 79.99% - 66.67% (1.5 pts)</b> <b>Q4: 66.66% - 0% (0 pts)</b>	5	5	0

D. Project Populations						
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale	PSH	TH/RRH, RRH, TH	SSO
16	<b>% of Chronically Homeless Persons Served</b>	Effectively ending Chronic homelessness is a federal and local goal.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 11 by Line 1 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
17	<b>% of Veterans Served</b>	Effectively ending Veteran homelessness is a federal and local goal.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 10 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
18	<b>% Youth ages 18-24 Served</b>	Effectively ending Youth homelessness is a federal and local goal.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 12 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
19	<b>% Families (HH w/ Minor Children) Served</b>	Effectively ending Family homelessness is a federal and local goal.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 8a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Column b by Column titled "Total"	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
20	<b>% Parenting Youth Ages 18-24 with Minor Children Served</b>	Parenting youth is a sub-population of Youth.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 5a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Line 13 by Line 2 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
21	<b>% Persons Fleeing or Attempting to Flee Domestic Violence</b>	Persons actively fleeing domestic violence are a population of concern in HUD and local goals  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 14b, 5a:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide Total "Yes" in section 14b by Line 1 of Section 5a.	> 50% = 1 pts 25% - 50% = 0.5 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
22	<b>Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by no income at entry.</b>	Participants with no income at entry are considered harder to serve than those with income at program entry.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR 18:  <u>Calc:</u> Take "Adults with no Income" from the "Number of Adults at Start" Column and divide it by Line 2 of Section 5a.	50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts	2.5	2.5	2.5
23	<b>Participants are "hard to serve" as defined by 2 or more physical/mental health conditions at entry.</b>	Participants with multiple physical/mental health conditions at entry are considered harder to serve than those with no or 1 conditions at program entry.  <u>Source:</u> CoC APR - 13a2:  <u>Calc:</u> Add the numbers in "2 conditions" and "3+ conditions" from Column "Total Persons". Divide by "Total Persons" as listed in Section 13a2, Line h.	50% + = 2.5 pts < 50% = 0 pts	2.5	2.5	2.5



24	<b>Entered From:</b> % of participants admitted directly from the street or other locations not meant for human habitation.	Coordinated Entry's focus is on serving the most vulnerable first, including those living on the street or other places not meant for human habitation.	Source: CoC APR Q15:  <u>Calc:</u> Divide number from Line a3, "Place not meant for human habitation" by "Number of Adults (age 18 and over)" listed in Section 5a.	25%+ = 1 pts < 25% = 0 pts	1	1	1
----	---	---	---	-------------------------------	---	---	---

**E. HMIS Data Quality**

Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale	PSH	TH/RRH, RRH, TH	SSO
25	<b>Project's Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information</b>	HUD is utilizing HMIS data for community reporting (AHAR, Sys. Perf. Measures, CAPER, Project Performance), a project's Data Completeness, accuracy and timeliness impacts the overall community data reporting on progress  <u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report - 6a  <u>Calc:</u> Enter "Overall Score" from 6a.  (Ranked in ascending order)	Q1: 0% - .14% (2 pts) Q2: .15% - 1.43% (1.5 pts) Q3: 1.44% - 2.25% (.5 pts) Q4: 2.26% + (0 pts)	2	2	2
26	<b>Project's Data Quality: Universal Data Elements: Project Start Date</b>	Same as above.  <u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report – 6b  <u>Calc:</u> Enter "% of Error Rate" for "Project Start Date (3.10)" from 6b.  (Ranked in ascending order)	Q1: 0% (2 pts) Q2: .01% + (1.5 pts) Q3: N/A* Q4: N/A*	2	2	2
27	<b>Project's Data Quality: Exit Destination</b>	Same as above.  <u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report - 6c  <u>Calc:</u> Enter "% of Error rate" for "Destination (3.12)" from 6c.  (Ranked in ascending order)	Q1: 0% (2 pts) Q2: .01% - 1.02% (1.5 pts) Q3: 1.03% - 6.00% (.5 pts) Q4: 6.01% + (0 pts)	2	2	2
28	<b>Project's Data Quality: Timeliness</b>	CoC standards state Real Time Data Entry is preferred, but data must be entered within 2 working days of being collected.  <u>Source:</u> CoC-APR Report – 6e  <u>Calc:</u> Enter all table values for Table 6e directly into Excel Scorecard. Scorecard will auto- calculate by adding all entries in the "0 days" row and dividing it by the total number of entries.  (Ranked in descending order)	Q1: 100% - 70.10% (3 pts) Q2: 70.09% - 57.14% (2 pts) Q3: 57.13% - 39.52% (1 pts) Q4: 39.51%- 0% (0 pts)	3	3	3

F. CoC Participation				PSH	TH/RRH, RRH, TH	SSO
Performance Measurement	Rationale For Measurement	Data Source and How Calculated	Performance Point Scale			
Applicant has at least 1 staff member regularly participating in at least 1 of the CoC Workgroups	HUD expects that all CoC-funded projects actively participate within the CoC	Source: CoC Workgroup Sign-In sheet records for the past 12 months - Provided by STEH  Calc: Staff attended at least 80% of at least one workgroup in the past 12 months.	Yes = 1 pt No = 0 pt	1	1	1
<b>Total Points:</b>				<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>75</b>

29

\* Where metrics had a large number of projects that scored 0% or 100% that spanned across 2 two or more Quartiles, all projects below were moved up into the next quartile.

Cost Effectiveness
<p><b>CoC Project Funding</b> = <math>\frac{\text{CoC + Match Funding Total}}{\text{\# of HH served in last Op. Year}}</math> = <math>\text{Cost per HH}</math></p> <p>CoC funds represent ___% of project funding.</p>
<p><b>Other Project Funding</b> = <math>\frac{\text{Other Project Funding*}}{\text{\# of HH served in last Op. Yr.}}</math> = <math>\text{Additional Cost per HH}</math></p> <p>*do not include in-kind expenses List other funding sources included above (if applicable):</p>
<p><b>Total Project Funding</b> = <math>\frac{\text{CoC + Other Project Funding*}}{\text{\# of HH served in last Op. Yr.}}</math> = <math>\text{Total Cost per HH}</math></p>

Number of households served in the last operating year: \_\_\_\_\_

Average size of household in the last operating year: \_\_\_\_\_